1 2 3	VICTOR SHERMAN (S.B.N. 38483) SHERMAN & SHERMAN A Professional Law Corporation 2115 Main Street Santa Monica, California 90405		
4 5	Telephone: (310) 399-3259 Facsimile: (310) 392-9029 Email: ssvictor@aol.com		
6 7	Attorney for Defendant IMRAN HUSAIN		
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No.: CR 14-149-RS	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
13	vs.	STATUS CONFERENCE REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME	
14	IMRAN HUSAIN, {	PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; PROPOSE D ORDER	
15	Defendant.		
16	,		
17			
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between defendant, Imran Husain,		
20	by and through his counsel of record, Victor Sherman, and plaintiff, United States		
21	of America, by and through its counsel of record, Benjamin Kingsley, hereby		
22	stipulate as follows:		
23	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 24, 2014 at		
24	2:30 p.m.		
25		es now move to continue the status	
26	conference until August 12, 2014 at 2:30 p.m. and to exclude time		
27	between June 24, 2014 and August 12, 2014, under 18 U.S.C.		
28	§3161(h)(7)(A); B(iv).		

- 3. The parties agree and stipulate and request that the Court find the following:
 - a. The government has represented that the initial discovery has been provided and is continuing to prepare additional discovery in this matter. Discovery is ongoing at this time, but is not complete.
 - b. Defense counsel, Victor Sherman, is unavailable during the month of July as he is scheduled to be in a trial starting July 1,
 2014 and expected to go the entire month.
 - c. Counsel for the defendant needs additional time for investigation and preparation. Counsel for defendant is continuing to investigate the matter. Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and resolution, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d. The government does not object to the continuance and agrees a continuance is necessary due to the ongoing voluminous discovery review.
 - e. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
 - f. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 24, 2014 to August 12, 2014, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §316(h)(7)(A),

Case 3:14-cr-00149-RS Document 14 Filed 06/24/14 Page 3 of 3

1	B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the			
2	Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's			
3	findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action			
4	outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant's			
5	interest in a speedy trial.			
6	6 4. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order	4. Nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall preclude a finding that		
7	other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time			
8	periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must			
9	9 commence.			
10	0 IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
11	1 DATED: June 24, 2014 Respec	tfully submitted,		
12		MAN & SHERMAN essional Law Corporation		
13	3	or Sherman		
14	4 By:	R SHERMAN		
15	5 Attorne	y for Defendant Iusain		
16	6			
17		amin Kingsley		
18	8 DATED: June 24, 2014 By: BENJA	MIN KINGSLEY		
19	9 Assista	nt United States Attorney		
20	0			
21	(PROPOSED) ORDER			
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
23	DATED: June 24, 2014	Sechon		
24	HON. RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge			
25	5			
26				
27				
28	X II			